

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFÍRMATION NO.	
10/003,965	10/31/2001	Peter Gordie Thompson	GP-301306	6558	
75	7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER	
CHRISTOPH	CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES			SWARTHOUT, BRENT	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2636		
Detroit, MI 48	8265-3000		DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004		
			211.21111111111111111111111111111111111	وجويها والمراجع	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	pm/		
se transition of	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/003,965	THOMPSON, PETER GORDIE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brent A Swarthout	2636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addi	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the illiapply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this com	munication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		-	nerits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 11-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to frawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in a ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/003,965

Art Unit: 2636

حنج بسراره

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - i. Claims 1,3 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleefeldt.

Kleefeldt discloses a vehicle entry and security system comprising key cylinder 3, first cable 4, mechanical actuator 2, second cable 44, latch 1 connected to opposite end of second cable, and switch 43 movable by actuator.

Choosing to have switch move from first to second states would have been obvious since switches normally function to change state upon activation.

Regarding claim 3, Kleefeldt teaches use of sliding actuator (col.4, lines 53-58).

Regarding claim 9, Kleefeldt teaches use of actuator housing 26.

Regarding claim 10, Kleefeldt teaches use of sheath and end connector for cable (Fig. 1).

2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleefeldt in view of Ikeda et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,965

Art Unit: 2636

-6-

Ikeda teaches desirability of using a pivotable actuator 3 in conjunction with a key cylinder device 1 (Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to use a pivot actuator instead of a sliding actuator in a system as disclosed by Kleefeldt, merely depending on whether or not interconnection with interior and exterior door handles was desired.

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleefeldt in view of L'Esperance et al.

L'Esperance teaches desirability of using a key fob 40 in conjunction with a vehicle entry system including buttons 52-58 and inherent transmit/receive means (Fig. 1), for activating an alarm when door is opened without authorization from transmitting fob 40.

It would have been obvious to use a fob and alarm as taught by
L'Esperance in conjunction with a system as disclosed by Kleefeldt in order to
allow remote access by a user and alerts upon unauthorized entry.

- 4. Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 11-15 are allowed.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kuroda, Friedrich and Inoue disclose vehicle entry devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,965

Art Unit: 2636

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A Swarthout whose telephone number is 703-305-4383. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass, can be reached on 703-305-4717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brent A Swarthout Examiner Art Unit 2636

PRIMARY EXAMINER